

THE FED SHIFTS WEIGHT

For the past four decades, central banks have been hawkish on inflation. Beginning in the 1980s, when price increases were running at over 10%, Paul Volcker took charge of the Fed with a promise to ‘slay the inflation dragon’.

US interest rates were hiked to 20% in 1981. As expected, the economy fell into recession and unemployment reached 10% in 1982. But inflation did begin to drop, falling to just over 3% in 1983 (and remaining in the low single digits since).

Despite the fact that the FED has had a ‘dual mandate’ since 1977 - aiming for both stable prices and maximum sustainable employment, the emphasis on inflation continued. Ben Bernanke, former chair of the FED, formalized this in 2012 when he set an explicit target of 2% inflation.

At the end of last month, the FED announced it would relax this focus. It would tolerate higher inflation to make up for past shortfalls, Jay Powell, chair of the FED, announced. Previously, the FED’s aimed for inflation to be as close to 2% as possible. Now, it hopes for inflation to *average* 2% “over time”. This means that if inflation falls below 2% this year, the FED will seek to push inflation above 2% next year to make up the shortfall. The FED will keep interest rates lower for longer, hoping to maximize employment.

Can the FED influence inflation expectations?

There are questions, however, about whether the FED will be able to achieve this. Along with other central banks around the world it has struggled to spur inflation in the last decade.

Since 2012, inflation in the US has only exceeded 2% in 16 of the past 102 months. This is despite a decade of historically low interest rates, steady economic growth, and declining unemployment. The FED hopes it can move people’s expectations of inflation closer to 2% by announcing it will tolerate higher inflation in the future. This will feed back into current inflation: if you expect the FED to accommodate higher inflation in the future, then this (in theory) affects wage bargaining, pricing decisions and the yields investors seek. This bids up current inflation and provides the FED with more space to ease policy.

The experience in Japan, however, serves as a warning to the FED. Since the 1990s, Japan has battled persistently low inflation. The Bank of Japan adopted an “inflation-overshooting commitment” in 2016 but inflation has remained stubbornly stuck at zero. Trying to manufacture

inflation expectations appears difficult and will likely remain so given the pandemic-induced downturn.

The FED has also not deployed any new measures to stimulate inflation. There has been a reluctance to move interest rates below zero. Richard Clarida, Vice Chairman of the FED, has also said there will be no immediate move to implement ‘yield curve control’, a policy that would tie down rates on government debt.

Adapting to new realities

The combination of low pre-pandemic unemployment and sluggish inflation is what has allowed the FED to turn its focus to maximizing employment. If previous increases in employment had led to surges in inflation, the FED might be more cautious about trying to maximize employment. Previously, the FED worried that increases in employment beyond a certain point would ignite inflation (a relationship known as the *Phillips curve*). Now, there is evidence that this relationship has broken down.

Any impact from the FED’s shift in emphasis will probably not filter through immediately. Yields on US government debt and market expectations of inflation have remained muted since Mr Powell’s announcement (see chart).



Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

The more immediate implications, instead, are likely to be in how other central banks react. Both the ECB and the Bank of England are currently reviewing their monetary policy strategies and might decide now is the time to allow higher inflation.

Ultimately, the shift in FED strategy is subtle and, as Adam Posen of the *Peterson Institute* notes, probably just a reflection of current reality. A decade of low interest rates, low inflation and a flat Phillips curve mean the FED is able to give inflation a longer leash. Ultimately though, the factors that might eventually push inflation higher in the months to come are ones out of the FED's control, namely higher government spending, pent-up consumer demand, and supply constraints caused by the pandemic.

Written by Thomas Schiller, Ad-Hoc Economics

<http://www.adhoc-economics.ch>



DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared by Clarus Capital Group AG ("Clarus Capital"). This document and the information contained herein are provided solely for information and marketing purposes. It is not to be regarded as investment research, sales prospectus, an offer or a solicitation of an offer to enter in any investment activity or contractual relation. Please note that Clarus Capital retains the right to change the range of services, the products and the prices at any time without notice and that all information and opinions contained herein are subject to change.

This document is not a complete statement of the markets and developments referred to herein. Past performance and forecasts are not a reliable indicator of future performance. Investment decisions should always be taken in a portfolio context and make allowance for your personal situation and consequent risk appetite and risk tolerance. This document and the products and services described herein are generic in nature and do not consider specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any specific recipient. Investors should note that security values may fluctuate, and that each security's price or value may rise or fall. Accordingly, investors may receive back less than originally invested. Individual client accounts may vary. Investing in any security involves certain risks called non-diversifiable risk. These risks may include market risk, interest-rate risk, inflation risk, and event risk. These risks are in addition to any specific, or diversifiable, risks associated with particular investment styles or strategies.

Clarus Capital does not provide legal or tax advice and makes no representations as to the tax treatment of assets or the investment returns thereon, either in general or with reference to specific client's circumstances and needs. Recipients should obtain independent legal and tax advice on the implications of the products and services in the respective jurisdiction before investing. Certain services and products are subject to legal provisions and cannot be offered world-wide on an unrestricted basis. In particular, this document is not intended for distribution in jurisdictions where its distribution by Clarus Capital would be restricted. Clarus Capital specifically prohibits the redistribution of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Clarus Capital and Clarus Capital accepts no liability whatsoever for the actions of third parties in this respect. Neither Clarus Capital nor any of its partners, employees or finders accepts any liability for any loss or damage arising out of the use of all or any part of this document. Source of all information is Clarus Capital unless otherwise stated. Clarus Capital makes no representation or warranty relating to any information herein which is derived from independent sources. Please consult your client advisor if you have any questions.

IMPRESSUM

IN COOPERATION WITH CLARUS CAPITAL GROUP AG, GUTENBERGSTRASSE 10, CH-8002 ZURICH,
RESEARCH@CLARUSCAPITAL.CH, WWW.CLARUSCAPITAL.CH

ROGER GANZ, HEAD ASSET MANAGEMENT, DEJAN RISTIC, HEAD EQUITY & FX, MARKUS METTIER, HEAD FIXED INCOME